2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

15

17

18

20

21

23

24

26

27

# UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT **DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

TCHUTIMA, INC., Plaintiff(s), v. BUA GROUP, LLC,

Defendant(s).

Case No. 2:24-cv-01130-JCM-NJK

#### Order

[Docket No. 65]

Pending before the Court is Plaintiff's motion to seal. Docket No. 65. The motion seeks secrecy as to Exhibits 9 and 19. See id. at 2. The Court issued an order identifying deficiencies with the motion to seal, deferring ruling on the motion, and requiring the filing the filing of a supplement curing those deficiencies. Docket No. 116. The parties filed a joint notice to unseal 16 Exhibit 9 and the majority of Exhibit 19. Docket No. 153. Defendant filed a supplement seeking redaction to Exhibit 19. Docket No. 154. For the reasons discussed below, the motion to seal is **GRANTED** in part.

#### 19 I. **STANDARDS**

For material submitted in conjunction with non-dispositive matters, a particularized showing of good cause must be made to warrant secrecy. Kamakana v. City & Cnty. of Honolulu, 22 447 F.3d 1172, 1180 (9th Cir. 2006). "Such a burden is met by the presentation of evidence, such as a declaration from someone with personal knowledge, rather than by the argument of counsel in motion practice." Henderson v. Aria Resort & Casino Holdings, LLC, 2023 WL 4288830, at \*1 (D. Nev. June 29, 2023) (collecting cases). The fact that the parties agree to secrecy does not alter the need to make the required showing. Allegiant Travel Co. v. Kinzer, 2022 WL 2819734, at \*1 n.1 (D. Nev. July 19, 2022).

28

### II. STIPULATION TO UNSEAL

Given the presumption of public access, *Kamakana*, 447 F.3d at 1178, and the agreement of all parties that secrecy is not warranted, the Court will order that Exhibit 9 be filed on the public docket in its entirety.<sup>1</sup>

## III. REMAINING REQUESTS FOR SECRECY

With respect to Exhibit 9, Defendant seeks redaction of financial data provided therein. *See* Docket No. 154 at 2-3. Defendant filed a declaration explaining that this information is kept confidential and that its public release would cause competitive harm to Defendant. Docket No. 154-1 at ¶¶ 3-6. Good cause exists for the proposed redaction.<sup>2</sup>

#### 10 IV. CONCLUSION

For the reasons discussed above, the motion to seal is **GRANTED** in part. The Clerk's Office is **INSTRUCTED** to continue maintaining the subject information under seal. The parties are **ORDERED** to file a notice on the public docket attaching Exhibit 9 in unredacted form and attaching Exhibit 19 with the redactions allowed above. This notice must be filed by April 22, 2025.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: April 10, 2025

Nancy J. Koppe

United States Magistrate Judge

1

2

3

4

5

6

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

23

24

25

27

28

<sup>2122</sup> 

<sup>1</sup> Exhibit 9 is just one part of a single PDF with several documents, so the Court is unable to order that Exhibit 9 be unsealed by the Clerk's Office. Instead, the parties must file on the public docket a notice attaching Exhibit 9.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Defendant asks the Court to redact the document for it, which the Court declines to do. Instead, the parties must file on the public docket a notice attaching Exhibit 19 with the allowed redactions.